UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL MINUTES—GENERAL

Case No.	EDCV 22-	0949-KK-SHKx	Date:	January 8, 2025
Title: L.C., et al. v. State of California, et al.				
Present: The Honorable KENLY KIYA KATO, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE				
	Noe Pon	ice	Not	Reported
	Noe Pon Deputy Cl			Reported t Reporter
Attorney	Deputy C	lerk	Court	1

Proceedings: (In Chambers) Order DENYING Defendants' Ex Parte Application [Dkt. 89]

On December 31, 2024, defendants Isaiah Kee, Michael Blackwood, Bernardo Rubalcava, and the State of California ("Defendants") filed the instant Ex Parte Application ("Application") to extend the fact discovery cut-off to February 20, 2025. Dkt. 89. That same day, plaintiffs L.C., I.H., A.L., and Antonia Salas Ubaldo ("L.C. Plaintiffs") and plaintiffs Jonathan Wayne Botten, Cr., Tanja Dudek-Botten, and J.B. ("Botten Plaintiffs") filed an Opposition. Dkt. 90. For the reasons set forth below, the Court **DENIES** Defendants' Application.

Unlike regularly noticed motions, applications for ex parte relief are "inherently unfair" and "pose a threat to the administration of justice" because "the parties' opportunities to prepare are grossly unbalanced." Mission Power Eng'g Co. v. Cont'l Cas. Co., 883 F. Supp. 488, 490 (C.D. Cal. 1995). Hence, to justify use of ex parte procedures, a party seeking ex parte relief must show: (1) "the moving party's cause will be irreparably prejudiced if the underlying motion is heard according to regular noticed motion procedures"; and (2) "the moving party is without fault in creating the crisis that requires ex parte relief, or that the crisis occurred as a result of excusable neglect." Id. at 492.

Here, Defendants fail to establish they are without fault in creating the crisis that requires ex parte relief, or that the crisis occurred as a result of excusable neglect.

First, the parties have had ample time to conduct discovery in this case. The Court notes that this case was filed over two and a half years ago in June of 2022. Dkt. 1. On March 8, 2023, the Court issued a scheduling order setting a discovery cut-off of February 19, 2024. Dkt. 57. On December 11, 2023, the Court granted the parties' request to continue dates, dkt. 79, including the discovery date which was continued to September 9, 2024. Dkt. 80. Additionally, pursuant to the Court's April 17, 2024 Order, dkt. 82, consolidating the instant matter with <u>Jonathan Wayne Botten</u>, Sr., et al. v. State of California, et al., EDCV 23-257-KK-SHK, the fact discovery cut-off was ultimately continued to January 2, 2025. See Jonathan Wayne Botten, Sr., et al. v. State of California, et al., EDCV 23-257-KK-SHK, dkt. 72.

Despite the length of time to conduct discovery, Defendants did not meet and confer regarding the issue of minor plaintiffs' depositions until November 26, 2024. See dkt. 90 at 13. Similarly, Defendants did not issue subpoenas for decedent Hector Puga's criminal records until December 4, 2024. Dkt. 89 at 11. Defendants also knew of the identity of Jessie Francis as early as November 25, 2024. Dkt. 90 at 17. Finally, to the extent Defendants claim additional time is needed for discovery regarding Jacob Gonzalez and the recently disclosed video, it is not clear that Defendants were diligent in serving the initial written discovery, nor is it clear that Defendants have been diligent in seeking discovery of Gonzalez's whereabouts. Id. at 17-18; dkt. 89 at 6-8.

Ultimately, had Defendants acted diligently, they would have (1) known of the discovery issues well in advance of the discovery cut-off; and/or (2) been able to serve a regularly noticed motion.1

Accordingly, Defendants' Application is **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

¹ While the Court need not address the issue of prejudice, the Court further finds Defendants fail to establish irreparable prejudice. Among other things, the minor plaintiffs' depositions would be cumulative and duplicative of discovery already produced. Dkt. 90 at 20-22. Similarly, Defendants will have an opportunity to depose health care providers, and subpoena records during the expert discovery phase. Id. at 23.